Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,114	HUBBS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Taylor Victor Oh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Blake</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 19 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: the examiner has informed that the issues under the	35 USC 112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph, are need	ed to resolve.
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief s</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a vocation of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)